



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4

345 COURTLAND STREET, N.E.
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

04.01-02/16/96-01532

February 16, 1996

4WD-FFB

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Gary McSmith
Atlantic Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Code 1823
1510 Gilbert Street
Norfolk, Virginia 23511-6287

SUBJ: Draft Feasibility Study,
PRAP & ROD
Operable Unit #3 - Sites 6 & 7
MCAS Cherry Point

Dear Mr. McSmith:

The Environmental Protection Agency has completed its review of the above subject document and comments are enclosed. The document should be reviewed for inconsistencies including the Remedial Investigation Report.

If there are any questions or comments, please call me at (404) 347-3016 or voice mail (404) 347-3555, x-6459.

Sincerely,

Gena D. Townsend
Senior Project Manager

Enclosure

cc: Linda Raynor, NCDEHNR
Renee Henderson, MCAS Cherry Point
Matt Cochran, Halliburton NUS

OPTIONAL FORM 99 (7-90)

FAX TRANSMITTAL

of pages 3

To GARY Mc Smith	From G. TOWNSEND
Dept./Agency NAVFAC	Phone # (404) 347-3016
Fax # (804) 322-4805	Fax # (404) 347-5205

NSN 7540-01-317-7368

5099-101

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Comments

It is very difficult to make detail comments on a document that does not agree with the technical discussions pertaining to this operable unit. These documents should be resubmitted for comments.

1. This Record of Decision is following the format for a private site, (EPA is the author and signatory of those documents). Changes have been made to the standard format (wording) to meet the requirements for Federal Facility Sites. (See a copy of the Camp Lejeune ROD's).
2. The Description of the Remedy should only discuss operable unit #3.
3. EPA does not maintain the Administrative Record. This information is maintained at the information repositories.
4. Figure 5 is one of the figures that is worthless. Attached is a copy of a Camp Lejeune figure that is similar to the detail presented in figure 5.
5. This document should identify the areas that have exceeded the Federal and State requirements and propose a recommended action. (For example, if the groundwater exceeds the NCWQS and the information shows contamination is in the shallow aquifer; the resulting action could be restricting or reclassifying the aquifer according to the 2L standards.)
6. A discussion or table should be included that identifies the which ARARS are pertinent to this operable unit.

